

Scope: Hospital-wide Patient Care
Document Type: Policy, Guideline
Approved on: 2024-02-06

Next Review Date: 2027-02-06

Version: 4

## Pain Assessment

This is a CONTROLLED document for internal use only, valid only if accessed from the Policies and Procedures site.

Issued by: Pain Matters Committee

#### 1.0 Introduction

Children's pain has historically been under-recognized and under-treated, with the youngest children and those with cognitive impairment being the most negatively affected (Trottier et al., 2022). Effective pain management depends on regular assessment and documentation of the presence and severity of pain and the patient's response to pain management interventions. While this policy is directed towards nursing staff, it is the responsibility of all health care professionals (HCPs) to ensure that patients under their care are as comfortable as possible.

Pain can be assessed by any HCP or caregiver using self-report, behavioral observation (by RN or caregivers), and physiological measures depending on the age/cognitive state of the child and/or communication capabilities.

Pain should be assessed and documented along with the other vital signs. See Vital Sign Monitoring

### 2.0 Definitions

- 2.1 Pain: An unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with, or resembling that associated with, actual or potential tissue damage (IASP, 2020). Pain is a personal experience influenced by biological, psychological, and social factors. Pain and nociception are different; pain cannot be inferred solely from activity in sensory neurons. A person's report of pain should be respected. Inability to communicate does not negate the possibility that a person experiences pain.
- 2.2 Pain relief goal: A pain relief goal may include but is not limited to a pain intensity score. Pain relief goals may include improvements in activity level, mood, and sleep. Discussion with caregivers should include these variables when setting goals for preverbal or non-communicative children. Pain relief goals can change and should be evaluated regularly.
- 2.3 Pain management intervention: An intervention which is aimed at reducing pain and distress. This may include a combination of methods:
  - Pharmacological (e.g., acetaminophen +/- nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory +/- opioid)
  - Physical (e.g., application of heat/cold, physiotherapy, developmental strategies)
  - Psychological (e.g., distraction techniques)
  - These interventions should be evaluated within one hour for efficacy.

### 3.0 Policy

- 3.1 Every patient, at a minimum, will have a pain assessment, using a developmentally appropriate, reliable, and valid measure, at the following times:
  - on admission, visit to ED or ambulatory clinic.

©The Hospital for Sick Children ("SickKids"). All Rights Reserved. This document was developed solely for use at SickKids. SickKids accepts no responsibility for use of this material by any person or organization not associated with SickKids. A printed copy of this document may not reflect the current, electronic version on the SickKids Intranet. Use of this document in any setting must be subject to the professional judgment of the user. No part of the document should be used for publication without prior written consent of SickKids.

Pain Assessment Page 1 of 5

- with ordered vital signs and with moderate to severe pain/pain above the patient's stated manageable level as required
- before, during (if appropriate) and after a potentially painful intervention
- When vital signs are ordered Q1-2H (e.g., PICU) it may not be necessary to assess pain with each set of vital signs. In these cases, a pain score should be documented a minimum of Q4H.

3.2 All pain intensity scores will be documented in the patient's health record-electronic assessment flowsheet as per unit policy. If the patient is sleeping the word "sleeping"/"Unable to assess" is documented for the pain score for those using a self-report tool. For patients using a behavioural observation tool (e.g., R-FLACC), a score can still be documented.

# 4.0 Guidelines for Assessing Pain

4.1 Pain is a subjective phenomenon, and a <u>self-report measure should be used whenever possible</u>. Most children over the age of 3 years are capable of self-report. Behavioral observation and physiological parameters should be used to complement self- report and are an acceptable alternative when a self-report tool is not appropriate. Behavioral observation and caregiver report are the primary methods for assessing pain in preverbal, nonverbal, or cognitively impaired infants and children. Select a pain assessment tool based on the developmental age of the child and child and family preference. It is recommended to use a consistent pain scale to better facilitate tracking and comparison. Recommended pain assessment tools include:

Assessment tool	Age/Cognitive Stage & Comments	
Behavioural Scales		
Premature Infant Pain Profile- Revised (PIPP-R)	<ul> <li>Preterm &amp; full-term neonates</li> <li>Max score for preterm infants &lt;28 weeks gestation is 21, and for full-term infants is 18.</li> <li>1-6 = mild pain</li> <li>7-12 = moderate pain</li> <li>12 = severe pain</li> </ul>	
Neonatal Infant Pain Scale (NIPS)	<ul> <li>Term to 1 year of age</li> <li>0-2 = no pain, no intervention         3-4 = mild-moderate pain, non-pharmacological intervention, reassess within         30-60 minutes         &gt;4 = severe pain, non-pharmacological intervention and consider         pharmacological intervention, reassess within 60 minutes</li> </ul>	
Revised- FLACC	<ul> <li>FLACC has been validated for children from the age of 2 months. Revised-FLACC has been validated additionally for children and adolescents with cognitive impairment.</li> <li>1-3 = mild pain</li> <li>4-6 = moderate pain</li> <li>7-10 = severe pain</li> </ul>	
Self-Report Scales		
Pain word scale	3 - 7 years; older children unable to use NRS-11	
Faces Pain Scale - Revised (FPS-R)	<ul> <li>4 - 7 years</li> <li>Not recommended for chronic pain assessment</li> <li>2 = mild pain</li> <li>4-6 = moderate pain</li> <li>8-10 = severe pain</li> </ul>	

©The Hospital for Sick Children ("SickKids"). All Rights Reserved. This document was developed solely for use at SickKids. SickKids accepts no responsibility for use of this material by any person or organization not associated with SickKids. A printed copy of this document may not reflect the current, electronic version on the SickKids Intranet. Use of this document in any setting must be subject to the professional judgment of the user. No part of the document should be used for publication without prior written consent of SickKids.

Pain Assessment Page 2 of 5

Assessment tool	Age/Cognitive Stage & Comments	
Behavioural Scales		
Numerical Rating Scale (NRS-11) 0 - 10	<ul> <li>6 years and older</li> <li>1-3 = mild pain</li> <li>4-6 = moderate pain</li> <li>7-10 = severe pain</li> </ul>	

- 4.2 On admissions, a pain history should be completed and should include the <u>following components:</u> pain intensity score (at rest and with activity), location, quality, duration, frequency, aggravating factors, alleviating factors, present pain management regime and effectiveness, previous experience with pain and coping strategies, and impact of pain ( on daily routines, sleep, appetite, relationships with family and peers), and level of distress. Assess situational factors which may be affecting pain perception and response e.g., cognitive, emotional (e.g., mood), behavioural, environmental, cultural, social factors.
- 4.3 When possible, a patient pain relief goal will be established by the patient and family. A pain management intervention will be planned to meet the patient's goal.
- 4.4 A pain management intervention will occur if:
  - The patient pain relief goal is not achieved OR
  - The pain intensity scores are unacceptable i.e., 4/10 (for NRS, Revised-FLACC and Faces scales); medium pain (Word scale); greater than or equal to 6 (PIPP-R scale); NIPS greater than or equal to 4.

As pain is complex and multidimensional, caution should be taken in using cut off scores. Pain intensity scores should not be used as the only basis for deciding whether a child should be treated.

- 4.5 Assessment findings should be used to guide pharmacologic, physical, and psychological interventions to ensure that pain is adequately managed. See <u>Pain Management Clinical Practice Guideline (CPG), SickKids Formulary</u> Analgesia Guidelines and <u>Application of Heat and Cold as a Pain Management Strategy</u> and <u>Comfort Promise</u>.
- 4.6 Pain will be reassessed within one hour of a pain management intervention, and reassessment will continue q1h or more often until the pain relief goal is achieved.

#### 5.0 Related Documents

Pain Management Clinical Practice Guideline (CPG)

Care of Patients Receiving Patient Controlled Analgesia PCA and Nurse Controlled Analgesia NCA

Care of Patients Receiving Regional Anaesthesia: Epidural and Nerve Block Infusions

Care of Patients Receiving Continuous Infusion of Opioids

Vital Sign Monitoring

Monitoring Requirements for Patients Receiving Opioids

Monitoring Requirements for Patients Receiving Regional Anesthesia

**Electronic Physiological Monitoring** 

## 6.0 References

American Academy of Pediatrics (2001). Policy Statement: The assessment and management of acute pain in infants, children, and adolescents. Pediatrics, 103, 793-797

©The Hospital for Sick Children ("SickKids"). All Rights Reserved. This document was developed solely for use at SickKids. SickKids accepts no responsibility for use of this material by any person or organization not associated with SickKids. A printed copy of this document may not reflect the current, electronic version on the SickKids Intranet. Use of this document in any setting must be subject to the professional judgment of the user. No part of the document should be used for publication without prior written consent of SickKids.

Pain Assessment Page 3 of 5

Breau, L., Burkitt, C. (2009). Assessing pain in children with intellectual disabilities. Pain Research & Management, 14(2), 116-120.

Canadian Pain Society (2005) Accreditation pain standard: Making it happen!

Herr, K., Coyne, P., Key, t., Manworren, R., McCaffery, M., Merkel., S., Pelosi-Kelly, J and Wild, L. (2006) Pain assessment in the nonverbal patient: Position statement with clinical practice recommendations. Pain Management Nursing, 17 (2) 44-52

Hicks, C.L, von Baeyer, C.L., Spafford, P., von Korlaar, I., Goodenough, B. (2001) The Faces Pain Scale - Revised: Toward a common metric in pediatric pain measurement. Pain, 93, 173 - 183.

International Association for the Study of Pain- Faces Pain Scale Revised, September 11, 2014, retrieved from www.iasp=paing.org/Education/Cotnet.aspx? Item Number 1519

Lawrence, J., Alcock, D., Kay, J., & McGrath, P. J. (1991). The development of a tool to assess neonatal pain. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 6(3), 194–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/0885-3924(91)91127-U

Malarvizhi, G., Vatsa, M., Roseline, M., Nithin, S., & Paul, S. (2012). Inter-rater reliability of the neonatal infant pain scale (NIPS) as a multidimensional behavioural assessment tool. Nitte University Journal of Health Science. 2(1), 26-30.

Malviya, S., Voepel-Lewis, T. Merkel, S., Tait, TR. (2006). The revised FLACC observational pain tool: improved reliability and validity for pain assessment in children with cognitive impairment. Pediatric Anesthesia, 16(3), 258-265.

McGrath, P.J., Stevens, B.J., Walker, S.M., Zempsky, W.T(2013). - Oxford Textbook of Pediatric Pain (2013) Neonatal/Infant Pain Assessment— Chapter 35 Editors: Grace Lee & Bonnie Stevens, Oxford University Press, New York.

McGrath, P.J., Stevens, B.J., Walker, S.M., Zempsky, W.T(2013). - Oxford Textbook of Pediatric Pain (2013) Behavioural Measures of Pain -Chapter 37-Editors Jill MacLaren Chorney & Megan McMurty. Oxford University Press, New York.

McGrath, P.A. and Gillespie, J. (2001). Pain Assessment in Children and Adolescents. In D.C. Turk & R. Melzack The Handbook of Pain Assessment. New York: Guilford Press, pp 97-118.

Merkel, S.L., Voepel-Lewis, T., Shayeviz, J.R., & Malviya, S (1997). The FLACC: A behavioral scale for scoring postoperative pain in young children. Pediatric Nursing, 23, 293-297.

RNAO (2013) Nursing Best Practice Guideline: Assessment and Management of Pain

Stevens, B., Johnston, C., Taddio, A., Gibbins, S., & Yamada, J. (2010). The premature infant pain profile: evaluation 13 years after development. Clinical Journal of Pain, 26(9), 813-830. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/AJP.0b013e3181ed1070

Stinson, J. Kavanaugh, T., Yamada, J. GIII, N, and Stevens, B (2006). Systematic review of the psychometric properties, interpretability and feasibility of self-report pain intensity measures for use in clinical trials in children and adolescents. Pain 125. 143-157

©The Hospital for Sick Children ("SickKids"). All Rights Reserved. This document was developed solely for use at SickKids. SickKids accepts no responsibility for use of this material by any person or organization not associated with SickKids. A printed copy of this document may not reflect the current, electronic version on the SickKids Intranet. Use of this document in any setting must be subject to the professional judgment of the user. No part of the document should be used for publication without prior written consent of SickKids.

Pain Assessment Page 4 of 5

Suraseranivongse, S., Kaosaard, R., Intakong, P., Pornsiriprasert, Y., Kaopinpruck, J., & Sangjeen, K. (2006). A comparison of postoperative pain scales in neonates. British Journal of Anesthesia, 97(4), 540-544. doi: 10.1093/bja/ael84

Voepel-Lewis, T., Merkel, S., Tait, A.R., Trzcinka, A., & Malviya, S. (2002). The reliability and validity of the Face, Legs, Activity, Cry, Consolability observational tool as a measure of pain in children with cognitive impairment. Anesthesia & Analgesia, 95(5), 1224-1229.

Voepel-Lewis, T. (2011) Commentary: Pain assessment and decision making: Have we missed the mark? in Pediatic Pain Letter 13 (1) 1-6.

Voepel-Lewis, T., Malviya, S., Merkel, S., Foster, R., & Krane, E. (2008). A comparison of the clinical utility of pain assessment tools for children with cognitive impairment. Anesthesia & Analgesia, 106(1), 72-78.

von Baeyer, C. and Spagrud, L. (2007) Systematic review of observational (behavioural) measures for children and adolescents aged 3 to 18 years. Pain, 127, 140-150.

©The Hospital for Sick Children ("SickKids"). All Rights Reserved. This document was developed solely for use at SickKids. SickKids accepts no responsibility for use of this material by any person or organization not associated with SickKids. A printed copy of this document may not reflect the current, electronic version on the SickKids Intranet. Use of this document in any setting must be subject to the professional judgment of the user. No part of the document should be used for publication without prior written consent of SickKids.

Pain Assessment Page 5 of 5